## Appendix XII-B1



# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)

	1
FOR USE BY CL	ERK'S OFFICE ONLY
PAYMENT TYPE:	□¢K □cg □ca
CHG/CK NO.	
AMOUNT:	
OVERPAYMENT:	
BATCH NUMBER:	

Use for initial Law Division						.l. A.E 1	AMOUNT:				
Civil Part pleadings (not motions) under Rule 4:5  Pleading will be rejected for filing, under Rule 1:5  if information above the black bar is not complete or attorney's signature is not affixed				<i>ile</i> 1:5-6	6(c),	OVERPAYMENT:  BATCH NUMBER:					
					ed						
				TELEPHON			COUNTY OF VENUE				
Bruce H. Nagel, Esq. (973) 618-0400					8-0400		Essex				
FIRM NAME (if applicable) Nagel Rice, LLP				,	DOCKET NUMBER (when available)						
OFFICE ADDRESS 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068					DOÇUMENT TYPE Complaint						
				JURY DEMAND   YES   No							
NAME OF PARTY (e.	g., John Doe, Plaintiff)		CAPTI	NC							
Emilio Fonseca and Leticia Oliveira, h/w, Plaintiffs		Emilio Fonseca and Leticia Oliveira, h/w, Plaintiffs vs. National Railroa Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak, Defendants						onal Railroad			
CASE TYPE NUMBE (See reverse side for	listing) RELATED?	E SANDY ■ NO	IS THIS A PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE CASE?						<u></u>		
FELA U	699 COMPLY IT JOHN YES NO IF YOU HAVE CHECKED "YES," SEE N.J.S.A. 2A:53 A -27 AND APPLICABLE CASE L REGARDING YOUR OBLIGATION TO FILE AN AFFIDAVIT OF MERIT.						BEE CAGE LAVV				
RELATED CASES PE	_		IF YES, LIST DOCKET NUMBERS								
☐ YES	■ No							•			
(arising out of same to	DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING ANY PARTIES (arising out of same transaction or occurrence)?						None				
_	☐ YES ■ NO UNKNOWN										
THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORM CANNOT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE.  CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING IF CASE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION (1)											
	<del>`</del>					AIEFUR	MEDIATIC	)N,;		<u> </u>	
DO PARTIES HAVE A CURRENT, PAST OR RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP?  IF YES, IS THAT RELATIONSHIP:  EMPLOYER/EMPLOYEE  FRIEND/NEIGHBOR  OTHER (explain)  FAMILIAL  Business							explain)				
DOES THE STATUTE	GOVERNING THIS C	ASE PROVII	DE FQR	PAYMENT O	F FEES BY	THE LOSI	NG PART	Ý? ◯ ⊑ □	YES	No.	
USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO ANY SPECIAL CASE CHARACTERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL MANAGEMENT OR ACCELERATED DISPOSITION											
Do you or your client need any disability accommodations?  Yes  No			ATIONS?	IF YES, PLE	ASE IDENTI	FYTHE RE	QUESTED A	CCOMMODA	ATION		
WILL AN INTE ☐ YES	WILL AN INTERPRETER BE NEEDED? ☐ YES ☐ NO				IF YES, FOR WHAT LANGUAGE?						
I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with <i>Rule</i> 1:38-7(b).											
ATTORNEY SIGNATURE											



# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

(CIS)
Use for initial pleadings (not motions) under *Rule* 4:5-1

12722	
SE TYPES	(Choose one and enter number of case type in appropriate space on the reverse side.)
Track I -	150 days' discovery
151	NAME CHANGE
175	FORFEITURE
302	TÉNANCY
399	REAL PROPERTY (other than Tenancy, Contract, Condemnation, Complex Commercial or Construction)
502	BOOK ACCOUNT (debt collection matters only)
	OTHER INSURANCE CLAIM (including declaratory judgment actions)
.506	PIP COVERAGE UM or UIM CLAIM (coverage issues only)
510	ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT
	LEMON LAW
	SUMMARY ACTION
802	OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (summary action)
999	OTHER (briefly describe nature of action)
Track II	- 300 days' discovery
	CONSTRUCTION
500 500	EMPLOYMENT (other than CEPA or LAD)
599	CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL TRANSACTION
6031	I AUTO NEGLIGENCE – PERSONAL INJURY (non-verbal threshold)
603Y	AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PERSONAL INJURY (verbal threshold)
605	PERSONAL INJURY
610	AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PROPERTY DAMAGE
	UM or UIM CLAIM (includes bodily injury)
699	TORT – OTHER
Track III	- 450 days' discovery
	CIVIL RIGHTS
	CONDEMNATION
602	ASSAULT AND BATTERY
604	MEDICAL MALPRACTICE
	PRODUCT LIABILITY
	PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE
	TOXIC TORT
-609	DEFAMATION WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLOYEE PROTECTION ACT (CEPA) CASES
. 616	WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIONS EMPLOYEE PROTECTION ACT (CE. 7) G. 10-10
617 619	INVERSE CONDEMNATION LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION (LAD) CASES
	- Active Case Management by Individual Judge / 450 days' discovery
119CK 14	ENVIRONMENTAL/ENVIRONMENTAL COVERAGE LITIGATION
3U3 190	MT. LAUREL
	COMPLEX COMMERCIAL
	COMPLEX CONSTRUCTION
	INSURANCE FRAUD
620	FALSE CLAIMS ACT
701	ACTIONS IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS
Multicou	nty Litigation (Track IV)
	ACCUTANE/ISOTRETINOIN 289 REGLAN
274	RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ZYPREXA 290 POMPTON LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
278	ZOMETA/AREDIA 291 PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE
279	GADOLINIUM 292 PELVIC MESH/BARD .
	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB ENVIRONMENTAL 293 DEPUY ASR HIP IMPLANT LITIGATION FOSAMAX 295 ALLODERM REGENERATIVE TISSUE MATRIX
	ANDENIA CONTRACEDTIVE DEVICE
	YAZIYASMIN/OCELLA 297 MIRENA CONTRACEPTIVE DEVICE
	PRUDENTIAL TORT LITIGATION 623 PROPECIA
200	A LODE HANDE TOLL ELLOCATION SECTION S
المط ينمين ألما	eve this case requires a track other than that provided above, please indicate the reason on Side 1,
in the sna	eve this case requires a track other than that provided above, please indicate the reason on other system condensations.
•	
Plea	ase check off each applicable category 🔲 Putative Class Action 🔳 Title 59

Please check off each applicable category

NAGEL RICE, LLP Bruce H. Nagel, Esq. - 025931977 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-0400

SUPERIOR COURT OF NU CIVIL DIVISION ESSEX VIOMAGE

7015 MY 18 P 1: 22

FRANCE ON ISION RECEIVES/FILED

EMILIO FONSECA AND LETICIA OLIVERIA, h/w,

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION-ESSEX COUNTY DOCKET NO.:

Civil Action

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION d/b/a AMTRAK,

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Defendant.

Plaintiffs, Emilio Fonseca and Leticia Oliveria, his wife, residing at 155 Dukes Street, Apt. 2, Kearny, New Jersey by way of Complaint against the defendant says:

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

Defendant, National Railroad Passenger Corporation 1. all times material hereto a ("Amtrak"), is and was at corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of law and was engaged in owning and operating a line and system of railroads and railroad properties as a common carrier of goods commerce and passengers for hire in interstate and transportation in, through and between various and several states of the United States with its principal place of business at 30th Street Station, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and doing business in Essex County, New Jersey.

- 2. The defendant owns, maintains and operates tracks and equipment within Essex County, New Jersey.
- 3. At all times material hereto and for some time prior thereto, plaintiff, Emilio Fonseca ("Emilio"), was in the employ of the defendant as a conductor in furtherance of the carrier's business of interstate commerce and transportation by railroad.
- 4. This action is brought pursuant to the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C.A. §§ 51 et seq., ("FELA") and the Federal Safety Appliance Act, 45 U.S.C.A. §§ 1 et seq., recodified in 49 U.S.C.A. §§ 20301 et seq.
- 5. Defendant is legally responsible for Emilio Fonseca's personal injuries and damages he has suffered as a result of an incident on May 12, 2015. Defendant is legally responsible for these injuries and damages because of its failure to provide the plaintiff with a safe workplace.
- 6. FELA is remedial legislation originally enacted by the United States Congress in 1908 to compensate railroad workers for personal injuries and death suffered in the course of their employment.
- 7. Railroad workers covered under the Act are not eligible for state workers' compensation, and FELA provides the sole remedy against their railroad employers for job-related injuries.

- 8. Under FELA, railroads such as the defendant may not delegate the duty to provide employees with a reasonably safe work place.
  - 9. Defendant is now and, at all times relevant to this case has been, a "common carrier by railroad" engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of 45 U.S.C.A. § 51.

#### FIRST COUNT

- 10. On or about May 12, 2015, while working within the scope of his duties as a conductor for the defendant on Amtrak Northeast Regional Train No. 188 from Washington, D.C. to New York (the "Train"), Emilio was injured due to the defendant's negligence.
- 11. As a result of Amtrak's negligence and carelessness as well as its violation of federal regulations, local statutes and ordinances, local regulations, and accepted industry rules, regulations, standards and practices, the Train and all of the railcars derailed at or near Frankford Junction in or near the Port Richmond section of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 12. At the time of his injuries, Emilio was working as part of the defendant's business as a railroad engaged in interstate commerce.
- 13. Emilio's duties with the defendant were "in furtherance of interstate commerce" as contemplated by 45

- 14. Emilio's duties with the defendant "directly and substantially affected" interstate commerce as contemplated by 45 U.S.C.A. § 51.
- 15. Emilio was working on the train when the accident happened.
- 16. As a result of the derailment, Emilio sustained serious and permanent personal injuries.
- 17. The negligence of Amtrak, individually and/or through its employees, agents, servants, consisted of, amongst other things, failing to properly and safely operate the train; operating the train at excessive speed, operating the train at a speed that was excessive due to the geographic conditions and the train and track configuration; failing to provide him with a reasonably safe workplace; acting or failing to act through its officers, agents, and employees in ways that were otherwise negligent; failing to have sufficient personnel to safely operate the train; failing to provide available, necessary and appropriate systems to slow and/or stop the train, if and/or when the engineer failed to properly operate the train; failing to provide available, necessary and appropriate systems to slow and/or stop the train when other circumstances caused the train to operate at an unsafe speed; failure to make certain that the train was being operated safely; failure to properly train and instruct the train crew on safe train operations, particularly

at or near the accident site; failure to properly oversee and control the movement of the train; operating the train in an area of curved track at twice the legal track speed limit; and failure to warn Emilio of these dangerous conditions.

- 18. Said conduct was wanton and with reckless disregard for the safety of the plaintiffs and exposed plaintiffs, passengers, and the public to the potential for severe injury and death.
  - 19. Such conduct forms the basis for punitive damages.
- 20. Emilio has suffered serious, painful, and permanent injuries. Emilio has also suffered emotional trauma. These injuries harm the quality of his life. His injuries have also required significant medical treatment that is expected to continue indefinitely into the future. These injuries may end his railroad career.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness and/or unlawful conduct of the defendant, Emilio demands compensation for all economic, non-economic, special, and general damages permitted under the FELA. This demand includes, without limitation, past and future lost wages and associated benefits, impaired or diminished earning capacity, the past and future cost of medical treatment not covered by railroad-provided health insurance, pain and

suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and the loss of quality of his life.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Emilio Fonseca demands judgment against defendants for compensatory and punitive damages, interest, and costs of suit.

### SECOND COUNT

- 22. Plaintiffs repeat every preceding paragraph of this Complaint.
- 23. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff, Leticia Fonseca was the lawful wife of plaintiff, Emilio Fonseca.
- 24. As such, plaintiff, Leticia Fonseca, was entitled to the society, services, support, companionship, advice and guidance of her husband, Emilio Fonseca.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, plaintiff, Leticia Fonseca, has been and will in the future continue to be deprived of the society, services, support, companionship, advice and guidance of her husband, Emilio Fonseca.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Leticia Fonseca demands judgment against defendants for damages, interest, and costs of suit.

NAGEL RICE, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Bv:

BRUCE H. NAGEL

Dated: May 18, 2015

#### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues.

#### DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Bruce H. Nagel, Esq. is hereby designated as trial counsel in the above captioned matter.

NAGEL RICE, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ву:

Dated: May 18, 2015

### RULE 4:5-1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge the matter in controversy is not the subject of another action pending in any Court or of a pending arbitration proceeding and that no other parties are necessary to join at this time.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

NAGEL RICE, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

B37 \*

BRU

DIICE LINA

Dated: May 18, 2015